arXiv:gr-qc/0604113v1 26 Apr 2006

A Toy Model for Black Hole in Noncommutative Spaces

Michal Demetrian[*], Peter Prešnajder[†]
Comenius University, Mlynska Dolina 842 48
Bratislava IV, Slovakia

February 4, 2008

Abstract

We present a new point of view on the problem of the Schwarzschild black hole in the noncommutative spaces, proposed recently by F. Nasseri. We apply our treatment also to the case of the 2+1 dimensional Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black hole.

Keywords: Black hole, event horizon, noncommutative space.

1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometry, [1], and its physical applications have been studied extensively from various points of view during last couple of years. The idea to study the physical applications of the noncommutative geometry has very good motivation in the following: the dynamical variable in the general theory of relativity is the spacetime itself, and we know that the procedure of quatization transfers the classical commutative dynamical variables into the noncommuting operators in the quantum theory. Therefore, on can expect that the physics on the Planck scale is to be described within the noncommutative spacetime. Moreover, this geometry arises naturally in the string theory, where the noncommutativity is related to a kind of background field (magnetic-like field), [2],[3].

It is very natural to suppose the black holes are the objects where the strong gravitational field meets with effects of quantum mechanics and therefore the idea of a black hole in a noncommutative space is surely interesting.

In the section 2 of the paper we present a toy model of the Schwarzschild black hole in the noncommutative space and the section 3 discuss a kind of 2+1 dimensional black hole.

---

[*] e-mail: demetrian@fmph.uniba.sk
[†] e-mail: presnajder@fmph.uniba.sk

2 Incorporation of a space-space noncommutativity into the Schwarzschild metric

The Schwarzschild metric is given by the line element

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)\mathrm{d}t^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2 - r^2\mathrm{d}\Omega_2^2. \tag{1}$$

In this metric, the sphere with the radius $r = 2GM$ corresponds to the event horizon and is given by the mass M of the black hole only. The idea of the paper [4] and some other recent papers like [5], is to change the used coordinates into the noncommuting ones in a manner many times used in the formulation of quantum mechanics on noncommutative spaces [6],[7],[8],[9] and [10]. However, in our problem this treatment can hardly be used: how do we understand the objects like $\mathrm{d}\hat{r}$ with $\hat{r}$ being an operator? And how to understand the quantities like angular momentum and linear momentum of undefined object(s) (bodies) entering the results of the paper [4]?

We propose the following modification of the treatment proposed by F. Nasseri. We express the formula for the gravitational radius of the black hole as the constraint:

$$r^2 = 4G^2M^2 \equiv r_g^2. \tag{2}$$

This constraint can be "quantized" introducing the noncommuting variables $\hat{x}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ (in fact, we are going to be interested in a planar section of the space only, nothing more can be done within this concept of noncommutativity, more appropriate example will be discussed in the next section):

$$[\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2] = \theta, \quad \text{or} \quad [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = \theta\epsilon_{ij}, \tag{3}$$

where the parameter θ of dimension *length*^{2} describes the space-space noncommutativity and the square root of $|\theta|$ plays the role of a fundamental length l_P. Making the change

$$r^2 \mapsto \hat{r}^2 \equiv \hat{x}_1\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2\hat{x}_2 \tag{4}$$

we obtain the operator version of the eq. (2)

$$\hat{x}_1\hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2\hat{x}_2 = r_g^2. \tag{5}$$

The commutation relations (3) can be realized in terms of differential operators as follows:

$$\hat{x}_i = x_i - \frac{i}{2}\theta\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2\ , \tag{6}$$

acting on auxiliary function $\psi(x)$. The constraint equations (5) can be then represented as the following eigenvalue problem (supposing ψ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$):

$$\left(x_i - \frac{1}{2\hbar}\theta\epsilon_{ij}p_j\right)\left(x_i - \frac{1}{2\hbar}\theta\epsilon_{ik}p_k\right)\psi = r_g^2\psi. \tag{7}$$

Performing some algebra we derive the partial differential equation for ψ

$$\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\partial_1^2+\partial_2^2) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{4}{\theta^2}(x_1^2+x_2^2) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{4i}{\theta}(x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1)\right\}\psi$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m}\frac{4\hbar^2 r_g^2}{\theta^2}\psi, \tag{8}$$

where we introduced a formal, say positive, parameter m to be able to compare easily our equation with the Schrödinger equation for the motion of a particle with mass m and electric charge e in the homogeneous magnetic field $\vec{B} = (0,0,B)$ that reads

$$\left\{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\partial_1^2+\partial_2^2) + \frac{1}{2m}\frac{e^2B^2}{4}(x_1^2+x_2^2) + \frac{ieB\hbar}{2m}(x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1)\right\}\psi$$
$$= E\psi. \tag{9}$$

Comparing relevant terms of the eqs. (8) and (9) we identify

$$eB = \frac{4\hbar}{\theta},$$

and having in mind the energies of the Landau levels: $E_n = \frac{\hbar eB}{m}(n+1/2)$, we finally find the possible values of the black hole radius r_g:

$$r_g = \sqrt{2\theta\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{10}$$

corresponding to the eigenfunction Φ_n. This is the quantization rule for the gravitational radius in our simple model of the Schwarzschild black hole in the noncommutative space.

In this particular situation, we have obtained the main result expected from the noncommutative geometry in physics - the quantization of a classical space-time characteristic. Moreover, we see that our result is compatible with the well-settled suggestion that the area of the event horizon of the Schwarzschild black hole A is to be quantized as follows, [11]

$$A \equiv A_n = 4\pi r_g^2 = 8\pi\theta\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) = \mathcal{A}(n+\mathcal{O}(1))l_P^2, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a dimensionless constant, l_P represents the Planck length and n runs over all the natural numbers. Obviously, our treatment the problem is not unique, and one can imagine other ways how to consider the Schwarzschild black hole in a noncommutative space. For example, in the paper [12] the same problem is attacked by considering the event horizon of the black hole by the well known fuzzy sphere, [13], leading to the same kind of result for the quantization of the black hole radius/mass is obtained. We are not attempting to propose the presented approach as some roots for a definitive theory but we would like to point out that the procedures presented in, say, [4] and [5], may be improved. One of the possible improvements is our toy model, an alternative is presented in [12].

3 The case of Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black hole

In [14] Bañados,Teitelboim and Zanelli (BTZ) have found the black-hole solution in 2+1 dimensional space-time with negative cosmological constant. The line element reads

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \left(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)\mathrm{d}t^2 - \left(-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2 - r^2\left(\mathrm{d}\theta - \frac{J^2}{2r^2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^2, \tag{12}$$

where M is the mass of the black hole, $\Lambda = -l^{-2}$ is the negative cosmological constant and J is the angular momentum of the black hole. The constraint

$$-M + \frac{r^2}{l^2} + \frac{J^2}{4r^2} = 0, \quad r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

determines the event horizon of the black hole.

We can introduce noncommutativity into the (x_1x_2) plane in the same manner as in the previous section. In this way the condition for the horizon transforms into the form

$$\left(M\hat{r}^2 - \frac{1}{l^2}\hat{r}^4\right)\psi = \frac{J^2}{4}\psi. \tag{13}$$

Using the results of previous section we have:

$$r^2 = 2\theta\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad 2\theta\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)M - \frac{1}{l^2}4\theta^2\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{J^2}{4} \tag{14}$$

with the same eigenfunctions Φ_n as above. Equation (14) quantizes the values of the angular momentum for given M and l and tells us that for given values of the parameters M and l of considered black hole, we have only finite number of admissible values of the angular momentum J. In the particular case $J = 0$ the mass of the black hole is quantized according to the formula

$$M \equiv M_n = \frac{2\theta}{l^2}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{15}$$

We can compute the maximal value of the angular momentum for given values of M and l. Using simple algebra, we rewrite eq. (14) into the form

$$\frac{J^2}{4} = -\frac{4\theta^2}{l^2}\left[\left(n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{Ml^2}{4\theta}\right)^2 - \frac{M^2l^4}{16\theta^2}\right]$$

from which we obtain the upper bound on black hole angular momentum:

$$|J| \leq J_{max} = Ml. \tag{16}$$

The bound (16) is identical to the classical one when the two horizons of classical BTZ black hole merge.

4 Discussion

We have proposed a simple toy model of a black hole in a noncommutative space instead of the model proposed by Nasseri in [4] and [5] that mixes the field-theory and particle mechanics. Within our model we were able to obtain quantization formula of the radius (mass) of the Schwarzschild black hole (10) and the so-called Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli black hole (15). We have shown that the upper limit for the value of the modulus of the angular momentum of the BTZ black hole within our model is the same as for the classical BTZ black hole, [14]. Our result (10) coincides with the result of the paper [12] where the author modeled the quantum black hole by modeling its event horizon as the noncommutative two dimensional sphere.

4.0.1 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the project no. VEGA 1/3042/06.

References

[1] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.

[2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP 9909 (1999).

[3] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, JHEP 02 (1999) 003.

[4] F. Nasseri, Gen. Rel. Grav. 37 (2005) 2223-2226.

[5] F. Nasseri, hep-th/0508122.

[6] M. Chaichian, A. Demichev, P. Presnajder, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Nucl. Phys. B611 (2001) 383, hep-th/0101209.

[7] S. Bellucci and A. Yeranyan, Physics Letters B 609 (2005) 418, hep-th/0412305.

[8] O. Bertolami *et al.*, Physical Review D 72 (2005) 025010, hep-th/0505064.

[9] Yin, Qi-jun and Zhang, Jian-Zu, Physics Letters B 613 91 (2005), hep-th/0505246.

[10] M. Demetrian and D. Kochan, Acta Physica Slovaca 52, No. 1 (2002) 1, hep-th/0102050.

[11] J.D. Bekenstein, Quantum black holes as atoms, *Proceedings of the 8th Marcel Grossmann meeting*, ed. T. Piran, World Scientific (1988), gr-qc/9808028.

[12] B.P. Dolan, JHEP 0502 (2004) 008, hep-th/0409299.

[13] J. Madore, *An introduction to the non-commutative differential geometry and its physical applications*, 2nd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, (1999).

[14] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1849.